From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY E	XAMINING AUTHORIT	Y	PCT	
To: Mink-Lidenburg, C. H. OCTROOIBUREAU MINK P.O. Box 15			WRITTEN OPINION	v. N
7468 ZG Enter PAYS-BAS	RECEIVE	D	(PCT Rule 66)	
	. 19 MAD 200	Date of mailing	0	
E. & F. SEVEIN (All Month year) 15/03/2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference 030304pct	•	REPLY DUE	within 1 / 00 months from the above date of m	s/days ailing
International application No.	International filing date	(day month year)	Priority date (day/mon	th/year)
PCT/NL03/00272	10/04/2003	*	13/04/2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC				
G01N23/00				
Applicant PANALYTICAL B.V. et a	al.	·		
1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.				
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:				
I X Basis of the opinion				
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of invention V X Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.				
When? See the time limit indicated to grant an extension, see How? By submitting a written re	above. The applicant may,	propriate, by amendn	nents, according to Rule 60	
For the examiner's obligation	nity to submit amendments, on to consider amendments cation with the examiner, se	and/or arguments, s	ee Rule 66.4 <i>bis</i> .	
If no reply is filed, the international	preliminary examination re	port will be establishe	d on the basis of this opin	ion.
The final date by which the internation report must be establish		::13/0	8/2004	alsches Patentam,
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer	É,	Eliop
European Patent Office		Examiner	evets.	Tuopeon
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 52 Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	3656 epmu d	Formalities officer (incl. extension of till Tel. (+49-89) 2399	me limits)	
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (marc	h 2002)		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Office europe

I. Basis of the opinion

- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- 1. In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.